United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,173	01/22/2007	Elias Papazissis	MAMA0101PUSA	3151
22045 BROOKS KUS	7590 09/27/2007 SHMAN P.C	EXAMINER		
1000 TOWN CENTER			GIBSON, RANDY W	
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2841	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/596,173	PAPAZISSIS, ELIAS				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Randy W. Gibson	2841				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	·	•					
2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims		•				
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 January 2007</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 8/7/06.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/596,173 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hale et al (US # 4,420,052). See the PCT search report.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Laimins et al (US 4,482,783). See the PCT search report.
- 5. Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brainerd, Jr. et al (US # 5,033,563). See the PCT search report.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,173

Art Unit: 2841

6. Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bella (US # 2,990,899). See the PCT search report.

Page 3

- 7. Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Capaldi et al (US # 5,809,591). See the PCT search report.
- 8. Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marchand (FR # 2,679,331). See the PCT search report.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,173 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hale et al (US # 4,420,052) in view of Stevenson et al (US # 5,065,830). The stretcher shown in figure 1 of Hale seems to be a cloth web that extends between two rigid poles; such a stretcher is common and is collapsible lengthwise. Even if not, Stevenson shows that it is known to make a stretcher that is foldable in order to save space when not in use, and it would have been obvious to the ordinary practioner for the same reason.

11. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hale et al (US # 4,420,052) in view of Bourgraf et al (US # 3,635,079). Hale does not expressly show suspending a stretcher that can be dismantled. However such stretchers are known as shown by the example of Bourgraf. It would have been obvious to the ordinary practioner to hang any known stretcher from the weighing frame of Hale motivated by its known suitability for its intended use. See MPEP §§ 2144.06 & 2144.07.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-1984. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/596,173

Art Unit: 2841

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Randy W. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841 Page 5